25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	
4	THE COURT: Please be seated, ladies and
10:08:34 5	gentlemen.
6	For the record, the court has before it today
7	Case Number 1:11cr04. Case is United States of America
8	versus Eric Tutstone.
9	We're here today for purposes of sentencing.
10:08:48 10	Counsel for the government, you ready to
11	proceed?
12	MR. BROWN: Yes, the government is ready.
13	Good morning, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?
10:08:54 15	MR. KERSEY: Yes, I am, Judge. Thank you.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Your Honor. Did
17	you get my notice and my motion?
18	THE COURT: What notice and motion are you
19	referring to, sir?
10:09:05 20	THE DEFENDANT: Not being represented by
21	Mr. Kersey.
22	THE COURT: And what reasons do you have for
23	not wishing Mr. Kersey to represent you?
24	THE DEFENDANT: For various reasons, Your
10:09:15 25	Honor. Mainly because I'm not satisfied with his

1	performance at trial, and I am he's going to be one of my
2	reasons for my appeal.
3	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
4	And what is it specifically that causes you
10:09:32 5	concern?
6	THE DEFENDANT: There was issues that was not
7	raised during trial that should have been raised. Also,
8	there was evidence that was not admitted to trial also.
9	THE COURT: Well, that's a matter, sir, we can
10:09:45 10	address, or will address, I am sure, either on appeal or by
11	way of what's called a 2255.
12	I am the issue before me is whether or not
13	Mr. Kersey can effectively represent you for purposes of a
14	sentencing hearing. And that is what I am interested in
10:10:00 15	hearing from you, is what, if any, reason you have that
16	Mr. Kersey can't effectively represent you for purposes of
17	this proceeding.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Well, I'm not confident with
19	proceeding because of his performance during trial, Your
10:10:16 20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Tutstone, I am constrained to
22	believe that perhaps there really isn't any problems with
23	Mr. Kersey. It's just an ongoing problem, sir, with all due
24	respect. This is the fourth attorney that's been appointed
10:10:29 25	to represent you. All of them, without fail, have been

skilled practitioners, who have appeared before me on numerous occasions and have done an outstanding job, and none of them -- you've not been satisfied with any of them or with any of their work on your behalf. And you haven't been happy with perhaps the advice that they have given you with regard to this matter.

So, at this point, sir, with all due respect, four attorneys, all, again, very capable practitioners here in federal court, and state court in some respects, and none of them have satisfied you. So I'm not really inclined, unless I hear some specific reasons why Mr. Kersey cannot represent you, I'm not really inclined to remove him or ask him to step down and appoint someone else with whom you can then be unhappy with.

Your Honor, Mr. Kersey, I am sure, is an adequate lawyer, but I know for a fact that he did not represent me to his best capability during trial. Therefore, I don't believe he will artfully argue any arguments that I have at sentencing. For one, there's not much in his memorandum to help support any of the issues that I want to be raised here at sentencing.

THE COURT: What hasn't he raised that you wish him to raise?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, there's variances from

10:10:45 5

10:11:17 15

10:11:37 20

10:11:51 25

1 the sentencing guidelines that should have been raised. 2 That should have been put in his memorandum. THE COURT: Why don't you be more specific, 3 because he submitted a memorandum which I've read. Why 4 don't you be specific. What is it that you think he should 10:12:03 5 have raised that he has not raised? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: That there are variances from 8 the sentencing guidelines, as well as the downward 9 departures, Your Honor. THE COURT: He's referenced both in his 10:12:13 10 11 memorandum. He's outlined in the very beginning of the -the caption of his motion or this memorandum is requesting a 12 13 variance departure. And then he's argued for variance and 14 departure in his memorandum. 10:12:28 15 So he has made those arguments. He's 16 referenced your so-called tragic personal history, 17 sociological factors, exposure to domestic violence. He's 18 named any number of reasons why -- so unless I hear 19 something more specific from you, sir, then I am going to go 10:12:45 20 forward with the hearing, because we have gone round and 21 round with numerous attorneys to represent you, none of who

22

23

24

10:13:00 25

So unless I hear something specific, then

which have been able to satisfy you. That's all it is, is

the question of satisfying you; and I am, quite frankly,

tired of the gamesmanship.

2.2

10:13:49 15

10:14:02 20

10:14:23 25

10:13:08 5

10:13:29 10

we're going to proceed and I will impose sentence in the matter.

Mr. Kersey, do you wish to be heard, sir, regarding the matter?

MR. KERSEY: Thank you, Judge. I just want to clarify for the court, Judge, in the sentencing memorandum and the objection to the PSI, I went down and met with my client, Mr. Tutstone, and I took -- I took copious notes on what his concerns were with respect to sentencing, his concerns were and his wishes as to a variance/departure that the court may entertain. We discussed that.

And all of what we have discussed, Judge, is put in the sentencing memorandum. And I think Mr. Tutstone is looking at it for the first time, although, he has access online. Judge, indeed I did put it in there. I did request it. I went through things that were -- that were I thought legally sound based on case law, U.S. versus Lopez, Deigert. I have them in the sentencing memorandum, Judge, if the court would please.

And I did that to not only accommodate his intentions and his wishes, but I also did it because I thought there was a valid -- there was a valid issue there with respect to his childhood upbringing, which is encompassed in all of the four points, the four points that I put in the memorandum, tragic personal history,

1	sociological factors, exposure to domestic violence. The
2	defendant suffered extraordinary mental abuse as a child.
3	All of that, and I also asked the court if the
4	court would recommend be so kind for drug treatment,
10:14:36 5	because he is addicted to marijuana as it is in the PSI.
6	Other than that, I would rest on the
7	sentencing memorandum that the court has read.
8	THE COURT: Have you been able to meet with
9	Mr. Tutstone and discuss the PSI?
10:14:49 10	MR. KERSEY: Yes, we did, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Have you reviewed it with him?
12	MR. KERSEY: Yes, I did. I read that we
13	went over there. He's got a copy of it and, you know, it's
14	been highlighted and underlined and gone through it
10:15:01 15	completely.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tutstone, anything
17	further?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Well, he just said, Your
19	Honor, that this is my first time seeing his memorandum, so
10:15:15 20	I don't know I want to apologize to the court for any
21	delays, but like he said, this is my first time seeing it,
22	and I do see that he does have all those things he just said
23	in the memorandum. But unbeknownst to me, I did not know
24	this until this moment, Your Honor, so this was no attempt
10:15:34 25	to delay the court for any proceedings at all.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Kersey, is this the first time
2	that Mr. Tutstone has been able to see the memo?
3	MR. KERSEY: Judge, that's correct. It was my
4	understanding that he has access online and that I did file
10:15:49 5	it. That's correct. He just read it and indicates that
6	indeed there is everything that he wants that we discussed
7	is in there, Your Honor. That's true.
8	THE COURT: Everything is in there?
9	MR. KERSEY: Yes, according to my notes.
10:16:01 10	Would that be fair to say, what we discussed is in that
11	sentencing memo?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
13	MR. KERSEY: Yes, it is, he says.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
10:16:08 15	MR. KERSEY: I applied that to the law and
16	also to his wishes, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Mr. Tutstone, do you need some
18	time to read the memorandum? It's three pages.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I appreciate that,
10:16:16 20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Take a few moments. You can
22	review it and read it, and we will go forward.
23	(Pause.)
24	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:18:36 25	THE COURT: All right. You acknowledge that

you have -- Mr. Kersey has indicated that he did review with
you the presentence investigation report and you reviewed
that and discussed that with him as well?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The report sets forth

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

10:19:53 25

10:19:03 10

10:19:21 15

10:19:40 20

THE COURT: All right. The report sets forth a number of objections, and just so it's clear for the record, I will overrule the motion seeking to remove

Mr. Kersey and appoint new counsel. There's been no reason presented other than the defendant's unhappiness with the ultimate outcome of his trial.

Those are matters that will yet be resolved for purposes of appeal or through a 2255 potentially. I would just note as an aside, the defense of the matter was a challenge at best given the fact the government was armed with audio and video recordings that clearly established, at least in my view, made it much more likely a conviction would be had, and clearly made it much easier for the government to establish the defendant's guilt in this case.

It's difficult for any defendant to overcome what was presented here in court, that being the audio and video recordings that clearly established, in my mind, his guilt in this case, and to combat same, made it very difficult for counsel.

So in any event, and just note for the record, Mr. Kersey is the fourth attorney that's been appointed to

10:21:29 25

10:20:09 5

10:20:22 10

10:20:36 15

10:21:07 20

represent this defendant. He has been unhappy with all of them, and I've honored his wish in the past for appointment of new counsel. But there's been no sufficient grounds or basis for the court to remove Mr. Kersey, no sound reasons given why he cannot continue to represent the defendant at sentencing.

Indeed, he has done his job, and that is, reviewed the PSI, filed objections, and filed the appropriate memoranda seeking a departure and/or variance.

So having said that, we will turn to any unresolved objections, and then we will address the balance of the issues before the court regarding the sentencing hearing.

The first objection is set forth in paragraph 1 on page 25. This objection, as well as many, are objections that relate to the probation officer's review of the evidence and/or the offense conduct that relates to paragraph 8, paragraph 10 and paragraph 11. All of those are the objections set forth on paragraph 25, as well as paragraph 12, excuse me, paragraph 4 referencing paragraph 12, as well as the other remaining objections that are set forth at pages 25 and 26. All of the objections are, in essence, to the probation officer's recollection or restatement of the offense conduct, of course, which the defendant disputes in large part.

1	I need not resolve these objections, simply
2	because the offense conduct as outlined has been established
3	for the benefit of the jury. The jury has heard the
4	evidence, has found the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable
10:21:45 5	doubt. And so while the defendant may maintain his
6	innocence and maintain his disagreement with the facts, the
7	jury has so found and, therefore, I need not resolve these
8	objections. And they are overruled based on the jury's
9	finding in the matter.
10:22:01 10	Having said that, counsel for the government,
11	are there any objections you wish to raise, any unresolved
12	objections at this time?
13	MR. BROWN: None, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Any further objections on behalf
10:22:13 15	of the defendant, Mr. Kersey?
16	MR. KERSEY: None at this time, Judge.
17	THE COURT: All right. The court obviously is
18	required to hear from the victim, and I know there has been
19	a statement obtained from the victim. Counsel, is the
10:22:28 20	victim present or her mother, or do you wish me to simply
21	rely upon the victim impact statement that has been
22	submitted as part of the presentence investigation report?
23	MR. BROWN: The government would respectfully
24	ask you to rely upon the submitted paperwork.
10:22:46 25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1 Mr. Kersey, you, of course, received in the PSI a reference to the victim and the statements from her 2 3 mother regarding the harm done to her by this crime and by this defendant's conduct. 4 MR. KERSEY: Whatever is reflected in the PSI, 10:23:01 5 Judge, we reviewed that. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. With 7 8 regard to any statement you wish to make on behalf of the 9 defendant, Mr. Kersey? MR. KERSEY: Judge, the only statement that I 10:23:11 10 11 would say, Judge, is I would ask the court to take into 12 consideration the sentencing memorandum on this. His 13 criminal history is low on this. Judge, he regrets what he 14 did, and I assure you that this was his first foray into 10:23:27 15 this type of activity. He has a lot of misdemeanors and has 16 a lot of traffic, but this sort of thing is his first 17 encounter. 18 What's reflected in the sentencing memorandum 19 is our position on it. I would like the court, if the court 10:23:38 20 would be so kind, to consider what is in the sentencing 21 memorandum, grant a departure, and allow him -- recommend 22 drug treatment pursuant to the appropriate code section I've 23 cited. Thank you, Judge. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10:23:55 25 Counsel for the -- I am sorry, before we hear

1 from the government's counsel, Mr. Tutstone, what, if any, 2 statement do you wish to make on your own behalf? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, Your Honor, I 4 appreciate that. When I first was arrested for this, I had a 10:24:07 5 lot of regrets, one mainly being that I missed Shannon 6 7 Jones, but then I realized I should have regretted meeting 8 her at all, because when I did meet her, the girl was 9 distraught and she was contemplating suicide. And during conversations with -- that I had 10:24:27 10 11 with the young lady, she told me that I made her feel better 12 about herself and she was no longer contemplating suicide. 13 So I don't regret that I ever met her, because just the 14 conversation I had with her might have saved her life. 10:24:44 15 I can say also, Your Honor, that this experience has been -- has been a deterrent for me to 16 17 conduct or participate in any crime in the future. 18 And I do -- I wish the court would take into 19 account that this is my first time of any offense like this. 10:25:08 20 And also there was no weapons involved. There was no -- no 21 one harmed. 22 And also, Your Honor, I just ask that you be 23 lenient with me when you impose your sentence. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10:25:27 25 Counsel for the government, what's the

1 government's position. 2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. government would request actually, and recognizing that the 3 quidelines range is 120 to 135, an upward departure to 15 4 years here, Your Honor. 10:25:39 5 The government argues that the facts in this 6 case warrant an upward departure to reflect the seriousness 7 8 of the offense. 9 THE COURT: Or a variance? MR. BROWN: Variance. That's correct. I'm 10:25:50 10 11 sorry. 12 THE COURT: If I were to consider a departure, 13 I would need to give the defendant more notice than --14 MR. BROWN: I am sorry, Your Honor. I 10:25:59 15 misspoke. A variance. 16 Your Honor, we base that request on the fact 17 that the case started when the defendant approached the 18 victim on this street because she appeared vulnerable, and, 19 in fact, the defendant's own words and statements today 10:26:16 20 reflect that, that he saw a person who looked vulnerable and 21 he approached her. 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: I never said I saw a person 23 that looked vulnerable. 24 THE COURT: Sir, don't interrupt. You've had 10:26:26 25 your opportunity. Don't interrupt. It's the government's

opportunity to speak. Do you understand me? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: That's not fair, though, Your 3 Honor. He's saying something that I did not say. 4 THE COURT: Listen, sir, I've got the benefit of a court reporter here. If there's a problem, I will go 10:26:37 5 back and review it. You be quiet. You sit quietly. 6 7 Candidly, I have to consider your conduct, and 8 even your conduct as you sit here in court might have an 9 affect on your sentence. So sit quietly. Understood? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10:26:55 10 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Go ahead. 11 12 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was not 13 a pre-established relationship. It was not a situation 14 where the victim sought out the defendant. 10:27:05 15 Furthermore, the defendant introduced the 16 ideas of phone sex to the victim. This, again, was not an 17 idea that she was actively pursuing or actively seeking out. 18 And he did it, I believe the record will reflect and the 19 evidence reflects, that while he was in contact with the 10:27:19 20 madam, that this was part of a larger ongoing sort of scheme 21 that he had with this girl, and the government would argue 22 that it was an overall intent to lure the victim into the 23 world of prostitution by the defendant. 24 And we rely on the statements between the 10:27:37 25 madam and the defendant, but also the defendant's own words

to the madam, on the stand and to the FBI. You know, on the tapes he says that he had a history of managing prostitutes in Philadelphia. He said he was in the game in Philadelphia, and he was telling this to the madam.

Also, there's just -- in the statements to the FBI and in the presentence report, this -- I don't know how to characterize it -- this assertion that he's living with women and women take care of him and he has this need for women to take care of him. There's this willingness to exploit people for his own gain.

The government would argue that this is behavior of somebody who's not just an accidental tourist in the world of prostitution. This person is looking for a way back into prostitution with a predator in relation to the victim.

He knew the victim's age from the beginning. He preyed on her. He manipulated her for his own purposes and own gain.

Very briefly about his criminal history.

There are allegations of domestic violence, and while the defense counsel is correct that there are no patterns of ongoing felonies and recidivist felonious behavior, there is sort of a consistent law-breaking tendency of the defendant, and I think that's relevant in this case, because it's just part and parcel of his ongoing unwillingness to follow the

10:28:12 10

10:28:29 15

10:28:38 20

10:28:57 25

rules of society at a fairly basic level.

10:29:10 5

10:29:25 10

10:29:42 15

10:29:57 20

10:30:14 25

It shows all in all, the government would argue, a lack of ability to rehabilitate or even a willingness to do so. Even in his presentence report, he said that he smoked marijuana daily. Even if he got treatment, he would go back to smoking it daily.

So although the defense asks for treatment, the government would argue that that sort of treatment comes with a tremendous benefit, and the government has endorsed drug treatment before in the past for certain defendants, and those are defendants who approach that sort of treatment and that sort of benefit with an open mind and with clean intentions and not somebody who says, "Yeah, I will go back to smoking dope once I'm out of jail and once I'm done with probation."

All in all, the request for an upward variance is based on the fact that this is a child victim who he sought out for prostitution and actually sold. This was not discussed. He actually sold the victim for, what he said on tape, for pocket change. That's how he described the transaction, it was for pocket change.

There's, I think the government would argue, a complete lack of acceptance still to this day, or acknowledgement even of his -- the wrongfulness of his actions. It's just an attempt to relitigate his points to

minimize or to shift blame.

10:31:52 25

10:30:34 5

10:30:52 10

10:31:09 15

10:31:25 20

For that reason, Your Honor, we are asking for the upward variance for 15 years, and we would ask for the maximum 5 years' post-supervised release after. Thank you.

THE COURT: For the record, the court should note, as well, and I should go back and make certain that I've addressed the issue of the advisory guidelines.

There's a recommended advisory guideline range set forth in the report. It's encompassed on pages 6 and 7 of the presentence investigation.

The base offense level is 30, beginning at paragraph 22, the bottom. There are no adjustments for acceptance of responsibility or any other adjustments that are appropriate. The total offense level, therefore, becomes a level 30.

Based on the defendant's criminal record, even though it is lengthy, many of the convictions do not score because of age and other reasons. His criminal history category becomes a II.

The advisory guideline range at offense level 30, Criminal History Category II on Counts 1 and 2 is 120 to 135 months. There's a mandatory minimum of ten years to life is the statutory provisions. But guidelines, 120 to 135 months is the advisory range. And there is, on Counts 1 and 2, not less than five years to life for a term of

1	supervised release.
2	Counsel for the government, do you have any
3	objection to the court's advisory guideline calculation?
4	MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
10:32:05 5	THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?
6	MR. KERSEY: Judge, I was looking at 30 and
7	II. I have 108 to 135. I'm sorry. That's what I had. I
8	was looking at this and
9	THE COURT: The 108 to 135, the reason that
10:32:27 10	there's 120 is where we begin is because the mandatory
11	minimum is ten years.
12	MR. KERSEY: I understand that, Judge.
13	THE COURT: That becomes the mandatory.
14	MR. KERSEY: I understand.
10:32:35 15	THE COURT: It's 120 to 135 months.
16	Any further objection, if any, to the
17	guideline calculations?
18	MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Kersey?
10:32:46 20	MR. KERSEY: No, Judge, I understand.
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anyone
22	else wish to be heard?
23	Mr. Kersey, do you wish to respond to anything
24	the government's attorney had to say?
10:32:53 25	MR. KERSEY: Judge, just briefly. He's

1 talking about a worldwide -- this girl being sold worldwide. 2 There's no testimony in the trial. The court can recall 3 that. There's none whatsoever. He has no prior convictions 4 or any involvement in prostitution. What he said at trial at -- I don't know if I remember that, but there was no --10:33:08 5 there certainly isn't any criminal history indicating that 6 7 he was involved in that, either convicted or arrested on 8 that. And as far as the treatment, this treatment program 9 is designed for something like him. He's never been to a treatment facility before, and --10:33:23 10 11 THE COURT: Treatment for what? 12 MR. KERSEY: For drug addiction, marijuana. 13 Judge, he has -- he says he has that. The treatment would 14 be for marijuana smoking that's reflected in the PSI. 10:33:36 15 And in addition to that, the entire matter 16 involved a girl, unfortunately. There's criminal conduct 17 here definitely, but it involved only Cuyahoga County, 18 Judge. That's all I have to say. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. For the 10:33:52 20 record, the court would note that I have carefully 21 considered the matter. I am required to impose a sentence 22 that's sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply 23 with the purposes of the sentencing statute. 24 I will go through and make a finding, and also 10:34:05 25 will address the defendant's arguments for both departure

and/or variance. I will also address the government's request for an upward variance to 15 years at 180 months.

The nature and circumstances of the offense are that the defendant sold a minor to a known madam for monetary gain. The defendant believed the minor was to be used in her phone sex business or for phone sex. That is the defendant's position. But at the time the deal was made, the defendant was misinformed that this minor was intended to be used not only for phone sex, but also for sex with adult men.

And I believe the evidence is overwhelming in that regard. The audio and videotapes clearly established that the defendant was on notice for the full intent of this madam for the use of this young teenager.

History and characteristics of the defendant, his prior record, violence, physical abuse, diminished capacity, employment, substance abuse and family ties. The defendant is 44 years old. His parents are deceased.

Defendant described his childhood as rocky and unsettled. He has two brothers with whom he has an estranged relationship, according to the report. The defendant's sisters reside in Cleveland, and he stated they enjoy a familial relationship, but they do not spend much time together.

The defendant has never married, but has four

10:34:45 10

10:34:24 5

10:35:16 20

10:35:29 25

10:35:50 5

10:36:04 10

10:36:21 15

10:36:36 20

10:36:52 25

children, ages 24 -- two purportedly age 24, an 18-year-old and an 11-year-old from four past relationships. His youngest child resides in Cleveland, Ohio with her mother. The defendant stated his youngest daughter is very upset with him because she was planning to live with him just prior to his arrest.

The defendant appears to be in good physical condition, has no evidence of diminished capacity. He has a history of violence in his prior relationships as reflected in domestic violence relationships, domestic violence charges and other police contacts for domestic violence and/or harassment. His youngest child resides in Cleveland with her mother, as I've already indicated.

The defendant has admitted to smoking marijuana daily at the time of his arrest. He is amenable to treatment during any period of custody as well as during supervision, and has reported receiving his GED in 1986, which has not been verified.

The defendant's employment history, according to him, includes detailing vehicles and working with various contractors since 2007, as well as a long history as a security guard, although none of that has been verified.

The defendant's criminal history is extensive.

It includes dismissed cases. The defendant has been cited

for driving without a valid driver's license a total of 27

times and convicted 15 times of the same.

2.2

10:37:11 5

10:37:32 10

10:37:50 15

10:38:09 20

10:38:31 25

He has two prior convictions, and two prior dismissed cases for domestic violence. Including those four cases, he has a total of 12 contacts with police for domestic violence and/or harassment, and the facts of those convictions are outlined in the PSI and would certainly lead one to believe this defendant has a violent history when it comes to women, physical contact with them. And you can look at the report itself and can learn those facts, as I have done in referring to the PSI.

The defendant has been arrested or ticketed or under investigation a total of 58 times in reference to criminal matters, including the 27 traffic infractions.

Most of his criminal history record does not score due to age, excluding offenses with no convictions. The defendant has had a total of 24 convictions since the age of 21, and has only served approximately 155 days for all of these various convictions. So he has received little or no sanction for his prior involvement with the law.

I think it is somewhat telling, and I will just note for any reviewing court, to review the circumstances of the domestic violence cases. They involve, again, violence against these particular women, and the physical contact is outlined, which, of course, gives rise to the court's concern about this defendant and his risk of

10:38:46 5

10:39:02 10

10:39:19 15

10:39:37 20

10:39:55 25

harm to others, as well as his general relationships with women.

As I've already outlined, the need for the sentence -- before I address the need for the sentence imposed, there is a guideline range of 120 to 135 months.

There will be at least five years of supervised release, and of course, there is no probation.

There is an argument for a variance by the government, which I will address for a moment. There's an argument for a variance by the defendant in the memorandum, outlined his so-called tragic personal history, so-called sociological factors, exposure to domestic violence, and supposedly the defendant suffered extraordinary mental abuse.

I will consider those arguments. They are unverified, and given the manipulative nature of this defendant and given, at least in my view, his willingness to shade the truth or to tell half-truths based upon his testimony at trial and, in essence, his willingness to deny the obvious, I have grave doubts about the accuracy of some of this information, alleging the rape of one of his sisters by his father and other such actions.

The defendant allegedly being exposed to domestic violence. The defendant having drug-related issues, marijuana. I would suspect 90 percent of the

10:41:17 25

10:40:13 5

10:40:29 10

10:40:48 15

10:41:03 20

defendants we see here, perhaps 80 percent, have some drug-related issues, and marijuana use, unfortunately, is certainly rampant among various defendants and those involved with the law.

That is certainly not an excuse, in my view, for his use of marijuana. His use of his prior upbringing is not a basis for a variance, in my mind, as well as the argument that this is abhorrent behavior. I don't accept that argument in any way.

The defendant, by virtue of his conduct in this case, as reflected in the videotapes, it's clear to me that he is certainly not new to the sex trafficking trade and/or serving as a pimp for prostitutes. It's clear to me that he obviously has knowledge of the trade, and certainly, as he's indicated, in some ways on the tape, he certainly represents that he, in fact, is familiar with the game, if you want to call it that.

So I have no doubts that this is not -- even though he's never been convicted, I have no doubts that the defendant is extremely skilled in manipulating young women, including the victim in this case.

And I should note, and I will note when I address the need for the sentence imposed, we cannot overlook the victim in this case and the harm done to her by this defendant.

1 The need for the sentence imposed, just punishment, adequate deterrence, protect the public, reflect 2 3 the seriousness of the offense, improve the offender's conduct and condition. As I've noted, Mr. Tutstone has an 4 extensive criminal history. There's an argument, of course, 10:41:30 5 he has not -- no previous convictions for an offense of this 6 nature. These crimes are extremely difficult to prosecute. 7 8 The victims are extremely difficult to find many times. 9 They're unwilling to come forward. They are embarrassed by the conduct. And it is a very difficult crime to prosecute. 10:41:50 10 11 Defendant has not accepted responsibility for 12 his actions. He certainly is free to continue to argue that 13 he is innocent, but the evidence, in my view, is 14 overwhelming that indeed he is guilty of this offense. And 10:42:06 15 I believe there's substantial evidence to support the fact 16 that he has made his living, in essence, in the sex trade as 17 either a pimp or, in essence, preying upon young girls, 18 along with this 17-year-old. 19 His substance abuse is no excuse. Use of 10:42:21 20 marijuana doesn't drive one to become involved in the sex

His substance abuse is no excuse. Use of marijuana doesn't drive one to become involved in the sex trafficking trade. Mental health counseling may or may not be necessary depending upon -- it's difficult to ascertain if he's amenable to same given his manipulative nature and given how he attempts to mislead and the lack of candor makes it difficult for any counseling, in my view, to be

21

2.2

23

24

10:42:41 25

successful.

10:42:56 5

10:43:13 10

10:43:30 15

10:43:48 20

10:44:03 25

The guideline range may be inadequate. The government makes a compelling argument; however, I will decline to vary upward. I will, however, impose a sentence at the highest end of the guidelines, which will hopefully provide adequate deterrence and protect the public. This is a high-risk offender. This is an individual who, should he be released, he will once again continue this conduct, in my view, unless he is restrained and in custody for a long period of time.

The victim in this case, as reflected in the PSI, suffered from this defendant's conduct. Her mother was an individual who was a single mother, a single mom who was working, maintaining full-time employment. Her daughter was attending school, and this defendant's preying upon this child, and that is what she is, she is a child, she came into court and testified, she's vulnerable, subject to being easily preyed upon by an individual like Mr. Tutstone.

So it's clear to me that he certainly used his skills and abilities to prey upon this young lady. She is continuing in counseling. She needs that counseling. And she has -- of course, the adverse effects of this has affected her education and will in many ways affect her potential future.

So I cannot overlook the harm to the victim,

10:44:20 5

10:44:38 10

10:44:57 15

10:45:10 20

10:45:28 25

and I need to impose a sentence that will reflect the seriousness of the offense. This type of conduct, this type of crime is becoming -- certainly has been well reported in the media. And with great frequency, sex trafficking is an ongoing problem in our communities. It is under-reported. It is under-prosecuted, if I can use that term, simply because of the difficulties in finding young women to come forward, and also finding someone who is willing to assist the government as the madam in this case was willing to do.

Even she, Mr. Tutstone, even a madam, someone who had worked in the sex trade providing prostitutes, adult prostitutes, even she was shocked by your willingness to attempt to, in essence, sell this minor.

And so, therefore, for all of the reasons, as tempted as I am to impose a much lengthier term of imprisonment, I will decline to do so, and note for the record, I've considered all of the arguments made in the sentencing memoranda.

None of them are more compelling than the need to deter this defendant, to keep him off the streets and also to send a message to the public in general that this type of crime will be dealt with harshly and appropriately.

So for all those reasons, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, and 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), it will be the judgment of the court that

1 the defendant will be imprisoned for a term of 135 months on 2 each count to be served concurrently and not consecutively. Upon being released from prison, the defendant 3 4 will be placed on supervised release for a term of ten years. Five years is the mandatory, is the -- let me 10:45:43 5 restate that just so it's clear for the record. 6 7 It will be ten years. As to Counts 1 and 2, 8 the mandatory minimum is ten years to life. The supervised 9 release on Counts 1 and 2 is not less than five years to life. I will impose ten years on each count to run 10:46:09 10 11 concurrent. I go far above the five years, because this 12 defendant, as I've indicated, is a high risk. 13 Supervised release is necessary for a lengthy 14 period of time, so that if indeed he returns to this trade, 10:46:22 15 indeed he will face a harsh sanction and a lengthy prison 16 term. And he needs to be supervised for far more, in my 17 view, than the five years that has been the minimum. 18 In addition, the additional conditions that I 19 will impose as part of the court's sentence will be as 10:46:37 20 follows:

supervised release for ten years each on Counts 1 and 2 to run concurrently.

21

2.2

23

24

10:46:49 25

There will be no fine. As I've said,

Within 72 hours of release from custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the defendant shall report in person to

1 the U.S. Probation Office in the sentencing district to 2 which the defendant is released. There is no fine. There is no restitution. 3 A special assessment of \$200 shall be due 4 immediately. 10:47:01 5 While the defendant is on supervision, he 6 shall not commit another federal, state or local crime, 7 8 shall not illegally possess controlled substances and comply 9 with the following additional conditions: Mandatory drug testing will be in place. 10:47:11 10 11 Defendant shall refrain from any unlawful use of a 12 controlled substance and submit to one drug test within 15 13 days of commencement of supervision, and at least two 14 periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by his pretrial services officer. 10:47:27 15 16 Defendant cannot possess a firearm, 17 destructive device or any dangerous weapon. 18 Search and seizure will be in place. 19 defendant will be required to submit his person, residence, 10:47:39 20 place of business, computer or vehicle to a warrantless search conducted and controlled by his U.S. Probation 21 22 Officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner, 23 based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of 24 a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to

a search may be grounds for revocation. And the defendant

10:47:54 25

shall inform any other residents that the premises may be subject to a search pursuant to this condition.

Drug treatment and testing. Part of this supervised release, if indeed he wishes drug treatment for his admitted marijuana addiction, then obviously he will be required and shall participate in any program which will include drug and alcohol testing.

He will be designated a sex offender, and pursuant to 18, United States Code, Section 3583, he will be required to register under the Sex Offender Registration Notification Act and must comply with the requirements of the act as directed by his probation officer.

Pursuant to the Adam Walsh Child Protection

Act of 2006, the defendant shall register as a sexual

offender not later than three business days from his release

from custody. The defendant will keep the registration

current in each jurisdiction in which he resides, is

employed or is a student.

The defendant shall, no later than three business days after each change in name, residence, employment or student status, appear in person in at least one jurisdiction in which he has registered and inform that jurisdiction of all changes and reporting information.

Failure to do so may be a violation of his conditions of supervised release and may be a new federal

10:48:10 5

10:48:26 10

10:48:41 15

10:48:55 20

10:49:10 25

offense punishable by up to ten years.

10:50:12 20

10:50:31 25

10:49:27 5

10:49:43 10

10:49:58 15

The defendant will participate in any outpatient mental health treatment program. I've already touched on it, and that has been addressed earlier.

And, in addition, the defendant will abide by all of the rules of the Minor Protection and Restriction

Program of the U.S. Pretrial Services and Probation Office.

The defendant shall submit to mental health evaluation and sex offender assessment as directed by his probation officer. He will participate in any treatment program, including for sexual deviancy, which may include polygraph testing if recommended by these evaluations.

The defendant shall submit to periodic polygraph testing as directed by his probation officer. And no violation proceedings will be based solely on the results of a polygraph examination or a valid Fifth Amendment refusal to answer a polygraph question.

The defendant shall not have any contact with the victim or the victim's family, including letters, communication devices, audio or visual devices, visits or any contact through a third party without prior written consent of the probation officer.

He will cooperate in the collection of DNA, and his computer may be searched or the consent -- he will consent to the U.S. Probation Office conducting periodic and

10:50:45 5

10:50:59 10

10:51:16 15

10:51:27 20

10:51:41 25

unannounced examinations of his computer, which may include retrieval and copying of all memory from hardware, software and/or removal of such system for the purpose of conducting a more thorough inspection.

The defendant shall submit his person,

The defendant shall submit his person, residence, place of business, computer or vehicle to a warrantless search, as I've addressed earlier, conducted and controlled by the U.S. Probation Office in a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner, based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation, and the defendant shall inform all of the other residents of this condition.

Before I address appellate rights, under U.S. versus Bostick, any objections, corrections, any arguments that I haven't addressed that I may need to address before I adjourn the sentencing hearing?

Counsel for the government, please?

MR. BROWN: None from the government. Thank
you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?

MR. KERSEY: Judge, thank you very much. I

might have missed this. Did the court rule on our request

for a recommendation for drug treatment? I might have

missed it.

1 THE COURT: I will note for the record that I will not recommend drug treatment. I will leave that to the 2 3 Bureau of Prisons. The issue of marijuana, obviously he's 4 in custody. He will not have the ability to obtain the drug. And, in fact, if the BOP feels that he requires some 10:51:51 5 use of marijuana -- or some treatment for marijuana, then 6 7 they can choose to do so. But I will not recommend 8 treatment other than when he's released from custody, the 9 probation department can assist him in that regard. I'm not convinced in any way that drugs are 10:52:06 10 11 related or his use of marijuana is in any way related to 12 this offense or that he has any ongoing problem that 13 requires the resources of the drug treatment program and the 14 BOP. 10:52:22 15 MR. KERSEY: Thank you, Judge. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tutstone, you have 17 a right to have an appeal filed from the court's sentence, 18 and, obviously, from your conviction as well. I will reduce 19 your sentence to writing. There will be a written order. 10:52:32 20 Fourteen days from the date that order is issued will be the 21 time with which you will need to file your notice of appeal. 22 Mr. Kersey, I would ask you simply to file a 23 notice of appeal on his behalf, if you would, and we will 24 appoint other counsel for purposes of the appeal.

Are you able to do that for us?

10:52:46 25

1	MR. KERSEY: Yes, sir, I will.
2	THE COURT: All right. And then we will
3	either I or I will ask the circuit to appoint counsel for
4	purposes of your appeal, Mr. Tutstone.
10:52:57 5	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Anything else on behalf of the
7	government?
8	MR. BROWN: Nothing else. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Sir?
10:53:02 10	MR. KERSEY: Thank you, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kersey, for your
12	work. We appreciate your service to the defendant and
13	acting as a CJA counsel in the matter.
14	We will stand adjourned, please.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T EI certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Lori A. Callahan Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR U.S. District Court, Suite 568 2 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6022